



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Addressing the challenges of taxation E-commerce and digital services in the globalized economy

Kehinde Olagoke Ariyibi ¹, Oluwashola Fausath Bello ¹, Tolulope Foyekemi Ekundayo ², Rajat Gupta ³, Elebimoyo Oluwatobi Samuel ⁴, Ogunmoyero Oluwabusolami ^{5,*} and Olanrenwaju Olukoya Odumuwagon ⁶,

¹ Federal Inland Revenue Service, Lagos, Nigeria.

² Department of Economics, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria.

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology Allahabad, India.

⁴ Senior Financial Auditor, Bragha Company Limited, Accra Ghana.

⁵ Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, Texas Tech University, Texas, USA.

⁶ Department of Applied Statistics and Decision Sciences, Western Illinois University, Macomb, Illinois, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 24(02), 1445–1453

Publication history: Received on 28 September 2024; revised on 11 November 2024; accepted on 13 November 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.2.3460>

Abstract

The rapid expansion of e-commerce and digital services has fundamentally transformed the global economic landscape, presenting unprecedented challenges for traditional taxation frameworks. This comprehensive review examines the complexities of implementing effective taxation mechanisms in the digital economy, with particular emphasis on cross-border transactions and digital service providers. The research analyzes current international taxation policies, regulatory frameworks, and emerging solutions adopted by various jurisdictions to address the challenges of digital commerce taxation. Through systematic evaluation of existing literature and case studies, this review identifies key obstacles including permanent establishment definitions, value creation assessment, and jurisdiction determination in digital transactions. The findings reveal significant gaps in current taxation systems and highlight the need for international cooperation in developing standardized approaches to digital economy taxation. The study demonstrates that while some countries have implemented interim measures such as digital service taxes, a more cohesive global framework is essential for sustainable tax revenue collection in the digital age. This review contributes to the growing body of knowledge on digital economy taxation and provides valuable insights for policymakers, tax administrators, and researchers working to develop effective solutions for the evolving challenges of e-commerce taxation.

Keywords: Digital Taxation; E-Commerce; Cross-Border Transactions; Tax Policy; Digital Economy; International Taxation

1. Introduction

The emergence of e-commerce and digital services has revolutionized traditional business models, creating unprecedented challenges for tax authorities worldwide. The digital economy's borderless nature, coupled with the intangible characteristics of digital goods and services, has rendered conventional taxation frameworks increasingly obsolete [1]. This transformation has sparked a global debate on how to effectively tax digital transactions while ensuring fair competition and preventing tax base erosion.

The rapid growth of digital platforms, cryptocurrency transactions, and cloud-based services has further complicated the taxation landscape, necessitating a comprehensive examination of existing tax policies and potential solutions [2]. The interconnected nature of digital commerce has created complex value chains that traverse multiple jurisdictions,

* Corresponding author: Oluwashola Fausath Bello

making it increasingly difficult to determine where value is created and how it should be taxed. Furthermore, the emergence of new business models, such as platform economies and digital marketplaces, has challenged traditional concepts of source-based taxation and permanent establishment [3].

The digitalization of the global economy has profoundly disrupted conventional tax systems, rendering them ill-equipped to effectively capture the value generated by digital activities [4]. Traditional taxation principles, which have long been grounded in physical presence and tangible assets, now struggle to accommodate the borderless and intangible nature of the digital economy [5]. This fundamental shift has called into question the very foundations of international tax frameworks, sparking a pressing need for adaptive solutions.

The challenges extend beyond mere technical considerations, as the digital economy has introduced new complexities surrounding the nature of value creation. Digital businesses can generate significant economic value in jurisdictions where they have minimal or no physical presence, challenging traditional nexus rules for taxation [6]. The role of user data and user participation in value creation adds another layer of complexity, as these elements are not adequately captured by conventional tax frameworks. Additionally, the digital economy has facilitated the rise of multilateral business models where value is created through the interaction of users across different jurisdictions, making it difficult to determine where and how this value should be taxed [7].

This introductory section sets the foundation for exploring the multifaceted challenges of digital economy taxation and the urgent need for adaptive solutions in an increasingly digitalized world. The transformation of traditional business models, the emergence of new digital revenue streams, and the complex cross-border nature of digital transactions have collectively disrupted the existing international tax landscape, necessitating a comprehensive rethinking of tax policy and administration.

The rapid expansion of the digital economy has disrupted conventional tax frameworks, rendering them increasingly inadequate and necessitating the development of adaptive solutions to ensure sustainable tax revenue collection [8]. This comprehensive review examines the complexities of implementing effective taxation mechanisms in the digital economy, with particular emphasis on cross-border transactions and digital service providers.

2. Overview of Digital Economy Taxation

The digital economy's distinctive features have fundamentally altered the concept of value creation and business presence [9]. Traditional taxation principles, primarily based on physical presence and tangible assets, struggle to accommodate digital business models that operate across multiple jurisdictions without establishing conventional permanent establishments [10]. The intricate nature of digital transactions, involving multiple stakeholders across various territories, has created significant challenges in determining appropriate tax jurisdictions and allocating taxing rights among countries [11]. These challenges are further exacerbated by the rapid pace of technological advancement and the emergence of new digital business models.

The complexity of digital taxation extends beyond mere technical considerations to encompass fundamental questions about the nature of value creation in the digital economy. Digital businesses can generate significant economic value in jurisdictions where they have minimal or no physical presence, challenging traditional nexus rules for taxation [12]. The role of user data and user participation in value creation adds another layer of complexity, as these elements are not adequately captured by conventional tax frameworks [13]. Take for example, a software as a service (SaaS) company can provide its cloud-based services to customers across the globe without establishing a traditional permanent establishment in those markets [14], challenging the application of source-based taxation principles. Similarly, the role of user data and user-generated content in value creation adds another layer of complexity, as these elements are not easily captured by conventional tax frameworks that rely on the physical location of assets and employees [15].

Additionally, the digital economy has facilitated the rise of multilateral business models where value is created through the interaction of users across different jurisdictions, making it difficult to determine where and how this value should be taxed [16]. The digitalization of various economic activities, from e-commerce and online advertising to cloud computing and digital platforms, has blurred the lines between traditional sources of income and value generation [17]. This shift has called into question the adequacy of existing tax frameworks, which were primarily designed for the physical economy.

The distinctive characteristics of the digital economy, such as scalability, mobility, and the prevalence of network effects, have further exacerbated the challenges faced by tax authorities [18]. Digital businesses can rapidly scale their operations across borders, often without establishing a significant physical presence in the jurisdictions where they

generate revenue [19]. This mobility allows them to optimize their tax positions, potentially leading to base erosion and profit shifting concerns.

Moreover, the reliance on intangible assets, intellectual property, and data-driven value creation in the digital economy has made it increasingly difficult to accurately measure and allocate taxable profits [20]. Traditional tax principles that rely on the physical location of assets and employees often fail to capture the true value generated by digital businesses, leading to discrepancies between where value is created and where it is taxed [21].

This overview highlights the multifaceted nature of the challenges posed by the digital economy to conventional tax systems. The transformation of value creation, business models, and cross-border activities has necessitated a fundamental rethinking of tax policy and administration to ensure fairness, efficiency, and sustainability in the digital age.

3. Current Regulatory Framework

3.1. International Tax Guidelines and Standards

The evolution of international tax guidelines has been marked by significant attempts to address digital economy challenges. The OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) framework represents a cornerstone in international efforts to modernize tax systems [22]. The BEPS Inclusive Framework, comprising over 135 countries, has been instrumental in developing new international tax standards and guidelines [23]. These efforts have led to the proposal of significant reforms in international taxation principles, including new nexus rules and profit allocation mechanisms specifically designed for the digital economy.

3.2. Regional and National Approaches

Different regions and countries have adopted varying approaches to digital taxation, creating a complex landscape of regulatory frameworks. The European Union has been particularly active in developing comprehensive digital taxation policies, with proposals for both interim and long-term solutions [24]. Individual countries have implemented unilateral measures, such as the United Kingdom's Digital Services Tax and France's *taxe sur les services numériques* [25]. These national initiatives, while addressing immediate revenue concerns, have created challenges for international coordination and harmonization of tax policies.

3.3. Emerging Regulatory Trends

Recent developments in regulatory frameworks show a trend toward more sophisticated and nuanced approaches to digital taxation [26]. This includes the emergence of new concepts such as significant economic presence tests and virtual permanent establishment criteria. The growing focus on data protection and privacy regulations, such as the GDPR, has also influenced the development of digital taxation frameworks, particularly concerning the treatment of user data and its role in value creation [27].

While the OECD's BEPS framework has been instrumental in establishing new international tax standards, the patchwork of unilateral measures adopted by individual countries has created challenges for harmonization and consistency in digital tax policies [28]. The fragmentation of digital tax policies across different jurisdictions underscores the urgent need for a more cohesive international framework to address the complexities of the digital economy.

4. Challenges in Implementation

The implementation of digital economy taxation faces numerous complex challenges that extend beyond theoretical frameworks to practical operational issues [29]. One significant challenge lies in the accurate identification and tracking of digital transactions, particularly in cases involving multiple jurisdictions and currencies [30]. The dynamic nature of digital business models, which can rapidly evolve and transform, poses ongoing challenges for tax administrators attempting to apply static regulatory frameworks [31]. The issue of data collection and verification becomes particularly acute when dealing with cross-border digital services, where traditional audit trails may be insufficient or nonexistent.

Identifying and classifying digital transactions can be highly complex, as the demarcation between traditional and digital economic activities often blurs [32]. The rise of hybrid business models that combine physical and digital elements further complicates the task of accurately capturing the taxable components of a transaction. Additionally, the

prevalence of barter-like exchanges, cryptocurrency payments, and other innovative transaction mechanisms in the digital economy can obscure the traditional monetary trails that tax authorities have historically relied upon [33].

The technical infrastructure required for effective digital taxation implementation presents another significant challenge. Tax authorities must develop sophisticated systems capable of processing vast amounts of digital transaction data while ensuring security and compliance with data protection regulations [34]. The need for real-time monitoring and assessment of digital transactions adds another layer of complexity to the implementation process, as tax authorities must keep pace with the rapid evolution of digital business practices [35].

The implementation of digital taxation policies also poses significant challenges for businesses, especially small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) [36]. The increased administrative burden and specialized expertise required to navigate the evolving compliance frameworks can disproportionately impact smaller companies, potentially hindering their ability to compete in the global digital marketplace.

Furthermore, the challenge of ensuring compliance from foreign digital service providers, particularly those without physical presence in the taxing jurisdiction, requires innovative approaches to enforcement and collection mechanisms [37]. The borderless nature of the digital economy makes it difficult for tax authorities to exert jurisdiction over non-resident entities that generate revenue within their borders. Establishing effective information-sharing protocols and collaborative enforcement frameworks among tax jurisdictions is critical to addressing this challenge.

The dynamic nature of digital business models poses an ongoing challenge for tax administrators, who must continually adapt their regulatory frameworks to keep pace with emerging trends and innovations [38]. As new digital services and revenue models emerge, tax authorities must be agile in updating their policies and guidelines to ensure fairness and prevent the exploitation of loopholes [39]. This requirement for continuous adaptation adds significant complexity to the implementation and administration of digital economy taxation.

Addressing these practical operational challenges will be essential for tax authorities to effectively implement and enforce digital taxation frameworks [40]. Overcoming the technical, jurisdictional, and compliance hurdles will require a concerted effort involving collaboration between policymakers, tax administrators, and the private sector.

5. Impact on Business Operations

The implementation of digital taxation policies has far-reaching implications for business operations across various sectors. Companies must invest significantly in updating their systems and processes to comply with new digital tax requirements, often necessitating substantial modifications to their IT infrastructure and reporting mechanisms [41]. The complexity of compliance increases exponentially for businesses operating across multiple jurisdictions, each with its own set of digital taxation requirements and reporting standards.

This complexity often leads to increased operational costs and the need for specialized expertise in digital taxation matters [42]. Enterprises must dedicate resources to assessing the tax implications of their digital activities, implementing robust compliance frameworks, and staying abreast of continuously evolving regulatory environments [43]. The administrative burden can be particularly acute for smaller businesses, which may lack the necessary in-house capabilities and financial resources to navigate the intricacies of digital taxation.

The impact on business operations extends beyond mere compliance costs to affect fundamental strategic decision-making [44]. Companies must carefully consider the tax implications of their digital operations when expanding into new markets or launching new digital services [45]. The uncertainty surrounding evolving digital taxation frameworks can influence investment decisions and potentially inhibit innovation in the digital sector.

The uncertainty surrounding digital taxation frameworks can also influence strategic decision-making, potentially inhibiting innovation in the digital sector [46]. Furthermore, the disproportionate impact on smaller businesses threatens to create an uneven playing field, as SMEs often lack the resources and specialized expertise required to effectively comply with the evolving digital tax requirements. [47]

For multinational enterprises, the patchwork of digital tax policies across different jurisdictions creates significant challenges in optimizing their global tax positions [48]. Businesses must meticulously analyze the tax consequences of their cross-border digital activities, ensuring compliance with varying regulations while minimizing the risk of double taxation or other unintended consequences [49]. This level of tax planning complexity can distract from core business objectives and hamper the agility required to capitalize on emerging digital opportunities.

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) face particular challenges in navigating the digital taxation landscape [50]. These companies often lack the resources and specialized expertise required to effectively comply with the evolving reporting requirements and administrative burdens associated with digital taxation. The disproportionate impact on SMEs threatens to create an uneven playing field, potentially hindering their ability to compete in the global digital marketplace [51].

The far-reaching implications of digital taxation policies on business operations underscore the critical need for adaptive solutions that balance the legitimate revenue concerns of governments with the needs of enterprises operating in the digital economy [52]. Striking the right balance between effective tax collection and supporting digital innovation and growth remains a key priority for policymakers and business leaders alike.

6. International Cooperation and Solutions

International cooperation in addressing digital taxation challenges has become increasingly crucial as the digital economy continues to expand globally [53]. The development of effective solutions requires unprecedented levels of coordination among tax authorities, international organizations, and private sector stakeholders. The OECD's two-pillar solution represents a significant step toward establishing a coherent global framework for digital taxation [54], addressing both the allocation of taxing rights and the implementation of a global minimum tax rate [55].

Achieving a truly global consensus on digital taxation frameworks faces several challenges, including differences in national tax policies, concerns over sovereignty, and the potential for double taxation [56]. Overcoming these barriers will require sustained commitment and compromise from all stakeholders involved.

Technological solutions play a pivotal role in facilitating international cooperation and compliance. The development of standardized digital reporting formats and automated information exchange systems has enhanced the ability of tax authorities to monitor and assess digital transactions effectively [57]. Blockchain technology and artificial intelligence are being explored as potential tools for improving transparency and efficiency in digital tax administration [58].

Machine learning models, used to evaluate financial policies in environmental economics, highlight the potential of advanced data analytics in addressing complex regulatory challenges. Similarly, such technologies can enhance international cooperation and digital tax compliance, improving transparency and data integration in global tax frameworks [59].

These technological advances, combined with strengthened international cooperation frameworks, offer promising pathways for addressing the challenges of digital economy taxation. For instance, the development of standardized digital reporting formats and automated information exchange systems, such as the OECD's Common Reporting Standard, has enhanced the ability of tax authorities to monitor and assess cross-border digital transactions more effectively [60].

Beyond the OECD's initiatives, various regional and bilateral agreements have emerged to tackle the complexities of digital taxation [61]. The European Union, for instance, has proposed comprehensive digital tax reforms, including the introduction of a digital services tax and the development of a common consolidated corporate tax base [62]. These regional efforts, while addressing immediate revenue concerns, have also underscored the need for broader international coordination to prevent the fragmentation of digital tax policies.

The private sector has an essential role to play in collaborating with policymakers and tax authorities to develop practical solutions for digital taxation [63]. Businesses operating in the digital economy possess valuable insights and data that can inform the development of effective tax frameworks [64]. Engaging in constructive dialogue and providing technical expertise can help shape policies that balance the interests of governments, enterprises, and consumers.

Ultimately, the successful implementation of digital taxation solutions will require a multifaceted approach that combines international cooperation, technological innovation, and continuous adaptation to the evolving digital landscape [65]. By working together, governments, international organizations, and the private sector can navigate the complexities of the digital economy and establish sustainable tax frameworks that support innovation, economic growth, and fair revenue collection.

7. Conclusion

The taxation of e-commerce and digital services represents one of the most significant challenges in modern tax policy and administration. The comprehensive review of current approaches, challenges, and potential solutions highlights the need for continued evolution and adaptation of tax systems to meet the demands of the digital economy. A successful approach to digital taxation requires a delicate balance between ensuring fair taxation of digital activities and maintaining an environment conducive to innovation and economic growth.

The way forward necessitates a multi-faceted approach combining international cooperation, technological innovation, and regulatory adaptation. Enhanced cooperation between countries in developing and implementing digital taxation frameworks is essential for preventing fragmentation and reducing compliance burdens. Investment in technological infrastructure for tax administration must be prioritized to enhance monitoring and collection capabilities. Capacity building in tax authorities, particularly in developing countries, remains crucial for effective implementation of digital taxation frameworks. The continuous adaptation of tax policies to accommodate emerging digital business models is necessary for maintaining relevance and effectiveness in the evolving digital economy. As the digital economy continues to evolve, the development of flexible and adaptive taxation frameworks will be crucial for ensuring sustainable tax revenue collection while supporting continued digital innovation and economic growth.

To address the challenges of digital economy taxation, policymakers should prioritize the development of a harmonized global framework that balances the legitimate revenue concerns of governments with the needs of enterprises operating in the digital economy. Tax authorities should invest in technological infrastructure and capacity building to enhance their monitoring and collection capabilities. Businesses, in turn, should engage proactively with policymakers to provide technical expertise and help shape digital taxation policies that support innovation and economic growth.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Olbert M, Spengel C. International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.* 2017;3.
- [2] Nayak M, Pattanayak S, Sharma S, Pattnaik O. Precision Profiling: The Microeconomic Dynamics of Small Business Tax Optimization Through Digital Twins and Blockchain. In *Ensuring Security and End-to-End Visibility Through Blockchain and Digital Twins 2024* (pp. 280-298). IGI Global.
- [3] Cockfield AJ. Transforming the Internet into a taxable forum: a case study in e-commerce taxation. *Minn. L. Rev.* 2000;85:1171.
- [4] Poloni M. The Erosion of the Middle Class in the Age of Information: Navigating Post-Capitalist Paradigms of Power.
- [5] Strauss H. *Tax reform within a digitalised economy: tax, technology and assurance* (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University (South Africa)).
- [6] Koch T, Windsperger J. Seeing through the network: Competitive advantage in the digital economy. *Journal of Organization Design*. 2017 Dec;6:1-30.
- [7] Freudenreich B, Lüdeke-Freund F, Schaltegger S. A stakeholder theory perspective on business models: Value creation for sustainability. *Journal of business ethics*. 2020 Sep;166(1):3-18.
- [8] Brenner B. Transformative sustainable business models in the light of the digital imperative—A global business economics perspective. *Sustainability*. 2018 Nov 27;10(12):4428.
- [9] Teece DJ. Profiting from innovation in the digital economy: Enabling technologies, standards, and licensing models in the wireless world. *Research policy*. 2018 Oct 1;47(8):1367-87.
- [10] Magoge JS. Examining the Intersection of Permanent Establishment and Taxation in the Context of E-commerce in Tanzania. Available at SSRN 4828920. 2024 Apr 15.

- [11] Turina A. The progressive policy shift in the debate on the international tax challenges of the digital economy: A “pretext” for overhaul of the international tax regime?. *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2020 Apr 1;36:105382.
- [12] Olbert M, Spengel C. International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.* 2017;3.
- [13] Nagy J, Oláh J, Erdei E, Máté D, Popp J. The role and impact of Industry 4.0 and the internet of things on the business strategy of the value chain—the case of Hungary. *Sustainability*. 2018 Sep 29;10(10):3491.
- [14] Kavis M. *Architecting the cloud: design decisions for cloud computing service models (SaaS, PaaS, and IaaS)*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey; 2014.
- [15] Roche J. An evaluation of the adequacy of the existing framework for source-based taxation in South Africa as applied to the new business models proliferated by the digitalisation of the economy.
- [16] Olbert M, Spengel C. International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.* 2017;3.
- [17] Zaki M. Digital transformation: harnessing digital technologies for the next generation of services. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 2019 Sep 18;33(4):429-35.
- [18] Ahmad N, Schreyer P. Are GDP and productivity measures up to the challenges of the digital economy?. *International Productivity Monitor*. 2016 Apr 1(30):4.
- [19] Daskal J. Borders and bits. *Vand. L. Rev.* 2018;71:179.
- [20] Picciotto S, Gallardo A, Kadet J, Henn M, Villanueva M. The G20 and the “Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project”. Discussion Paper; 2017.
- [21] Lowry S. Digital services taxes (DSTs): Policy and economic analysis. Congressional Research Service Report. 2019 Feb 25;45532.
- [22] Bessenger M. *Taxation of Electronic Commerce: The Impact of the OECD Beps Actions on the Digital Economy*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa); 2019.
- [23] Christians A. BEPS and the new international tax order. *BYU L. Rev.* 2016:1603.
- [24] Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi OA. Tax havens reexamined: the impact of global digital tax reforms on international taxation. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*. 2024;5(02):001-12.
- [25] Sábo J. Taxation of digital services from international tax law perspective. *Financial Law Review*. 2020(20(4)):64-81.
- [26] Adeoye OB, Addy WA, Odeyemi O, Okoye CC, Ofodile OC, Oyewole AT, Ololade YJ. Fintech, taxation, and regulatory compliance: navigating the new financial landscape. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. 2024 Mar 15;6(3):320-30.
- [27] Mqadi SF. *Flexibility of the permanent establishment concept towards e-commerce as endorsed by the OECD* (Doctoral dissertation).
- [28] Brauner Y. Treaties in the Aftermath of BEPS. *Brook. J. Int'l L.* 2015;41:973.
- [29] Teece DJ. Profiting from innovation in the digital economy: Enabling technologies, standards, and licensing models in the wireless world. *Research policy*. 2018 Oct 1;47(8):1367-87.
- [30] Chatain PL, Zerzan A, Noor W, Dannaoui N, De Koker L. Protecting mobile money against financial crimes: Global policy challenges and solutions. *World Bank Publications*; 2011 Feb 24.
- [31] Sosna M, Trevinyo-Rodríguez RN, Velamuri SR. Business model innovation through trial-and-error learning: The Naturhouse case. *Long range planning*. 2010 Apr 1;43(2-3):383-407.
- [32] Bukht R, Heeks R. Defining, conceptualising and measuring the digital economy. *Development Informatics working paper*. 2017 Aug 3(68).
- [33] Olbert M, Spengel C. International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.* 2017;3.
- [34] Nembe JK, Idemudia C. Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):1171-83.
- [35] Viriyasitavat W, Da Xu L, Bi Z, Pungpapong V. Blockchain and internet of things for modern business process in digital economy—the state of the art. *IEEE transactions on computational social systems*. 2019 Jun 24;6(6):1420-32.

- [36] Sibarani P, Fadjarenie A, Widayati C, Tarmidi D. Digital tax policies and compliance challenges for MSMEs in Indonesia. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*. 2024 Oct 25;8(6):2817-35.
- [37] Rukundo S. Addressing the challenges of taxation of the digital economy: lessons for African countries.
- [38] Olbert M, Spengel C. Taxation in the digital economy-recent policy developments and the question of value creation. *Int'l Tax Stud.* 2019:2.
- [39] Schön W. Ten questions about why and how to tax the digitalized economy.
- [40] Nembe JK, Idemudia C. Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):1171-83.
- [41] Olbert M, Spengel C. Taxation in the digital economy-recent policy developments and the question of value creation. *Int'l Tax Stud.* 2019:2.
- [42] Susskind R, Susskind D. *The future of the professions: How technology will transform the work of human experts*. Oxford University Press; 2022 Mar 31.
- [43] Latilo A, Uzougbo NS, MC U, Oduro P. Strategies for Corporate Compliance and Litigation avoidance in multinational enterprise. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*. 2024;6(01):073-87.
- [44] Brunsson N. *The consequences of decision-making*. Oxford University Press; 2007 Jan 18.
- [45] Javalgi RG, Martin CL, Todd PR. The export of e-services in the age of technology transformation: challenges and implications for international service providers. *Journal of services marketing*. 2004 Dec 1;18(7):560-73.
- [46] Olbert M, Spengel C. International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.* 2017:3.
- [47] Atawodi OW, Ojeka SA. Factors that affect tax compliance among small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in North Central Nigeria. *International journal of business and management*. 2012 Jun 15;7(12):87.
- [48] Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi OA. Tax havens reexamined: the impact of global digital tax reforms on international taxation. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*. 2024;5(02):001-12.
- [49] Telukdarie A, Dube T, Munsamy M, Murulane K, Mongwe R. Navigating digital challenges for SMEs: A two-tier approach to risks mitigation and sustainability. *Sustainability*. 2024 Jul 9;16(14):5857.
- [50] Telukdarie A, Dube T, Munsamy M, Murulane K, Mongwe R. Navigating digital challenges for SMEs: A two-tier approach to risks mitigation and sustainability. *Sustainability*. 2024 Jul 9;16(14):5857.
- [51] Nembe JK, Idemudia C. Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):1171-83.
- [52] Munoz L, Mascagni G, Prichard W, Santoro F. Should governments tax digital financial services? A research agenda to understand sector-specific taxes on DFS.
- [53] Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi OA. Tax havens reexamined: the impact of global digital tax reforms on international taxation. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*. 2024;5(02):001-12.
- [54] Motala MF. *Global Governance and the Tax Challenges of Digitalization: Toward a BEPS with BITe*.
- [55] Chand V, Turina A, Romanovska K. Tax treaty obstacles in implementing the pillar two global minimum tax rules and a possible solution for eliminating the various challenges. Available at SSRN 3967198. 2021 Nov 19.
- [56] Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi OA. Tax havens reexamined: the impact of global digital tax reforms on international taxation. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*. 2024;5(02):001-12.
- [57] Adanma UM, Ogunbiyi EO. Evaluating the effectiveness of global governance mechanisms in promoting environmental sustainability and international relations. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. 2024 May 21;6(5):763-91.
- [58] Belahouaoui R, Attak EH. Digital taxation, artificial intelligence and Tax Administration 3.0: improving tax compliance behavior—a systematic literature review using textometry (2016–2023). *Accounting Research Journal*. 2024 May 1;37(2):172-91.
- [59] Olowe O, Fatoki IE, Abolorunke LO, Samuel EO, Bekobo D, Omole OM, Adewuyi AT, Esebre SD. Developing machine learning models to evaluate the environmental impact of financial policies.
- [60] Dahlman C, Mealy S, Wermelinger M. *Harnessing the digital economy for developing countries*.

- [61] Olbert M, Spengel C. International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.* 2017:3.
- [62] Lips W. The EU Commission's digital tax proposals and its cross-platform impact in the EU and the OECD. *Journal of European Integration.* 2020 Oct 2;42(7):975-90.
- [63] Nembe JK, Idemudia C. Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews.* 2024;22(3):1171-83.
- [64] Fitri R. Influence of Regulatory Tax Changes on Business Strategies in the Telecommunications Industry. *Golden Ratio of Taxation Studies.* 2024 Jul 30;4(2):79-91.
- [65] Adeoye OB, Addy WA, Odeyemi O, Okoye CC, Ofodile OC, Oyewole AT, Ololade YJ. Fintech, taxation, and regulatory compliance: navigating the new financial landscape. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal.* 2024 Mar 15;6(3):320-30.